# QUESTIONNAIRE OF STUDENT'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING <br> (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY) <br> YEAR (2020-21) 

(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:

Teacher:

Course:

Year:

* Your responses will be seen only after your results have been finalised and recorded.
* The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
* You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.
* You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The teacher's approach can best described as?
2. Always courteous $\square$ 2. Always indifferent
3. Sometimes rude $\square$ 4. Cannot say
4. How much well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

5. Poorly
6. Indifferently

7. How Far the teacher encourages student participation in class?

| 1. Mostly Yes | $\square$ | 2. Not at All | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Sometimes | $\square$ | 4. Always | $\square$ |

4. How well was the teacher able to communicate?

| 1. Always Effective $\square$ 2. Just Satisfactorily |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Sometimes Effective  $\square$ | $\square$ |

5. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
6. Encouraged to raise questions2. Encourage discussion outside class
7. Get involved in discussion in class $\square$ 4. Did not encourage $\square$
$\square$
8. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
9. Regularly/in time $\square$ 2. Often/late

$\square$
3. With helpful comments $\square$ 4. Without any comment $\square$
7. Were your assignments discussed with you?

1. Yes, fully $\square$
2. Yes, partly
3. Not discussed at all
4. Sometime discussed
$\square$
$\square$
5. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
6. Very helpful $\square$ 2. Did not all helpful $\square$
7. Sometimes helpful $\square$ 4. Did not advise

8. The syllabus of each course was?
9. Adequate $\square$ 2. Challenging
10. Inadequate $\square$ 4. Dull
11. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
12. 85 to $100 \%$
13. 70 to $85 \%$

14. 55 to $70 \%$

15. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
16. Yes $\square$ 2. No

17. Background for benefiting from the course was?
18. More than adequate
19. Inadequate $\square$ 4. Cannot say

$\square$
20. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
21. Easy2. Difficult

22. Manageable4. Very difficult $\square$
23. Internal assessment was?
24. Always fair $\square$ 2. Usually unfair $\square$
25. Sometimes unfair4. Sometime fair $\square$
26. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
27. Helps to improve $\square$ 2. No special effect
28. Discouraging $\square$ 4. Sometimes effective $\square$
29. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
30. More than adequate $\square$ 2. Inadequate

31. Adequate
32. Very poor $\square$
33. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?
34. Easily
35. With some difficulty
36. Not available at all
37. With great difficulty

, $\mathrm{H}^{-1}$ Nor

# REPORT OF THE STUDENT'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING 

## (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY)

Year (2020-21)

Student's Evaluation of the programme and teaching is a part of educational procedures and it helps to improve an educational program, assess its achievements and revamp its effectiveness. It is a systematic process of collecting, analyzing and interpreting information to determine the extent to which B.Ed. trainees are achieving instructional and behavioural outcome. Student's overall evaluation of the academic programme and teaching was based on the 83 performances which were collected from the B.Ed. Trainees of the year 2020-21. The interpretation of the feedback given by 83 students based on the following point's vis-àvis Teacher, Course, Internal Assessment, and Library.

## A. Teacher

- Teacher's approach can best be described as always courteous $82 \%$, always indifferent $13 \%$, sometimes rude $3 \%$ and cannot say $2 \%$.
- She/he prepares the classes thoroughly $85 \%$, poorly $3 \%$ and satisfactorily $12 \%$.
- She/he encourages student participation in the class mostly yes $80 \%$, not at all $10 \%$, sometime 5\% and always 5\%.
- She/he communicates in always effective $85 \%$, just satisfactorily $11 \%$ and sometime effective 4\%.
- She/he uses encouraged to raise $80 \%$, questions $18 \%$ and get involved in discussion 2\%.
- She/he provides regular/ in time $86 \%$, often/ late $6 \%$ and with helpful comments $8 \%$ feedback on student's performance.
- Assignments were discussed in class yes, fully $82 \%$, not discussed at all $6 \%$, yes partly $10 \%$ and sometime discussed $2 \%$.
- Her/his advice was very helpful $70 \%$, not all helpful $15 \%$, sometime helpful $14 \%$ and did not advise 1\%.
B. Course
- The syllabus of each course was adequate $85 \%$, challenging $10 \%$ and in adequate 5\%.
- It was covered in class up to $85 \%$ to $100 \%$ were $80 \%, 70 \%$ to $85 \%$ were $6 \%, 55 \%$ to $70 \%$ were $8 \%$ and less than $55 \%$ were $6 \%$.
- The course contributory lecture was provided in the beginning yes $76 \%$ and no 24\%.
- Background for benefiting from the course was more than adequate $83 \%$, adequate $7 \%$, inadequate $6 \%$ and cannot say $4 \%$.
- The course was easy $83 \%$, difficulty $7 \%$, manageable $8 \%$ and very difficult $2 \%$ to understand for students.


## C. Internal Assessment

- Internal assessment was always fair $81 \%$, usually unfair $5 \%$, sometimes unfair $3 \%$ and some time fair $11 \%$.
- It helps to improve course grade with helps to improve $81 \%$, no special effect $5 \%$, discouraging $2 \%$ and some time effective $12 \%$.


## D. Library

- Library material and facilities for the course was more than adequate $80 \%$, inadequate 6\%, adequate $10 \%$ and very poor 4\%.
- Students get prescribed reading materials easily $85 \%$, not available at all $3 \%$, with some difficulty $5 \%$ and with great difficulty $7 \%$.


## Professional Growth of Teacher

- Attended two day webinar on Managing Virtual Classroom and online Teaching organized by Panjab University Chandigarh.
- Attended Vyas Pooja Samaroh organized by Bhartiya Shikshan Mandal.


## Remedial Measures to be taken to improve the Feedback system

- The courteousness of teacher as appeared for the feedback report is $82 \%$. It is a fact that politeness costs nothing and gains everything. To inculcate courtesy, teachers encourage the student to pay attention and react with empathy and compassion.
- Preparation of teacher is $85 \%$. It can be increased by teacher through effective teaching.
- Participation of students in class is $80 \%$. Assess student's prior knowledge and tailor the lesion to build on students already know and it will increase the rate and degree of learning.
- Teacher should foster an ethos of student's participation and well-timed opportunities for them to work together. They will feel successful and more encouraged when new content is linked to what they already know.
- Proper schedule of assignments of every subject must be prepared in advance and should be discussed with students and them feedback will be generated. Due to time problems some topics are left and it can be given as assignments/projects of the uncovered topics.
- To make the course more understandable, teaching techniques like cooperative learning, project based learning, inquiry based instruction should be employed.
- Visual aids such as smart boards and projectors can ramp up the lesson in class. Students will absorb information and have deep understanding of it from hearing lecture along with it.


## Challenges

The results revealed major challenge that teacher should attend faculty development programmes like workshops, induction programmes refresher courses etc.


## STUDENTS SATISFACTION SURVEY 2020-21




Q 2. How much well did the teacher prepare for the class?
Thoroughly Poorly Satisfactorily Indifferently




Q 4. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
Always effective Just satisfactorily Sometime effective Generally ineffective


## Q 5. If yes, which of the following methods were used ?

Encouraged to raise Questions Get involved in discussiol Did not encourage


Q 6. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

## Regularly/in time Often/late With helpful comments Without any comment





## Q 10. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

| 85 to $100 \%$ | 70 to $85 \%$ | 55 to $77 \%$ | Less than $55 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $80 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |




Q 11. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture to at the beginning ?



Q 12. Background for benefiting from the course was?
More than adequte Adequte Inadequte Cannot say




Q 14. Internal assessment was?



Q 16. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course ?
More than adequate Inadequate Adequate Very poor



Q 17. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed redings?


