# QUESTIONNAIRE OF STUDENT'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING <br> (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY) 

YEAR (2018-19)
(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

## Department:

Teacher:

Course:
Year:

* Your responses will be seen only after your results have been finalised and recorded.
* The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.
* You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.
* You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The teacher's approach can best described as?
2. Always courteous

3. Always indifferent
4. Sometimes rude
$\square$
5. Cannot say

6. How much well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

| 1. Thoroughly |  | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 3. Satisfactorily |  | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.Poorly | $\square$ | $\square$ |

3. How Far the teacher encourages student participation in class?
4. Mostly Yes $\square$ 2. Not at all $\square$
5. Sometimes $\square$ 4. Always $\square$
6. How well was the teacher able to communicate?

| 1. Always Effective | $\square$ | 2. Just Satisfactorily | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Sometimes Effective | $\square$ | 4. Generally Ineffective | $\square$ |

5. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
6. Encouraged to raise questions2. Encourage discussion outside class
7. Get involved in discussion in class4. Did not encourage $\square$
8. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
9. Regularly/in time $\square$ 2. Often/late
10. With helpful comments $\square$ 4. Without any comment $\square$
11. Were your assignments discussed with you?

| 1. Yes, fully $\square$ 2. Not discussed at all |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Yes, partly  4. Sometime discussed | $\square$ |

8. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
9. Very helpful2. Did not all helpful $\square$
10. Sometimes helpful4. Did not advise $\square$
11. The syllabus of each course was?

## 1. Adequate

3. Inadequate $\square$ 4. Dull
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
5. 85 to $100 \%$
6. 70 to $85 \%$
7. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
8. Yes

9. No
10. Background for benefiting from the course was?
11. More than adequate $\square$ 2. Adequate
12. Inadequate $\square$ 4. Cannot say
13. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
14. Easy $\square$ 2. Difficult
15. Manageable $\square$ 4. Very difficult

$\square$
16. Internal assessment was?
17. Always fair $\square$ 2. Usually unfair $\square$
18. Sometimes unfair4. Sometime fair
19. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?

| 1. Helps to improve | $\square$ | 2. No special effect | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Discouraging | $\square$ | 4. Sometimes effective | $\square$ |

16. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
17. More than adequate

18. Inadequate

19. Adequate $\square$ 4. Very poor $\square$
20. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?
21. Easily
22. With some difficulty
23. Not available at all
24. With great difficulty

# REPORT OF THE STUDENT'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING 

## (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY)

## Year (2018-19)

Student's Evaluation of the programme and teaching is a part of educational procedures and it helps to improve an educational program, assess its achievements and revamp its effectiveness. It is a systematic process of collecting, analyzing and interpreting information to determine the extent to which B.Ed. trainees are achieving instructional and behavioral outcome. Student's overall evaluation of the academic programme and teaching was based on the 85 performances which was collected from the B.Ed. Trainees of the year 2018. The interpretation of the feedback given by 85 students based on the following points vis-à-vis Teacher, Course, Internal Assessment, and Library.

## A. Teacher

- Teacher's approach can best be described as always courteous $85 \%$, always indifferent $10 \%$, sometimes rude 5\%.
- She/he prepares the classes thoroughly $85 \%$, poorly $13 \%$, satisfactorily $2 \%$.
- She/he encourages student participation in the class mostly yes $85 \%$ and sometime 15\%.
- She/he communicates in always effective $80 \%$, just satisfactorily $18 \%$ and sometime effective $2 \%$.
- She/he uses encouraged to raise $81 \%$, questions $11 \%$, get involved in discussion $6 \%$ and did not encourage $2 \%$ method to teach the students .
- She/he provides regular/ in time $86 \%$ and with helpful comments $14 \%$ feedback on student's performance.
- Assignments were discussed in class yes, fully $82 \%$, not discussed at all $8 \%$, yes partly $9 \%$ and sometime discussed $1 \%$.
- Her/his advice was very helpful $85 \%$, not all helpful $3 \%$, sometime helpful $10 \%$ and did not advise $2 \%$.


## B. Course

- The syllabus of each course was adequate $85 \%$, challenging $5 \%$, in adequate $10 \%$.
- It was covered in class up to $85 \%$ to $100 \%$ were $85 \%, 70 \%$ to $85 \%$ were $9 \%, 55 \%$ to $70 \%$ were $6 \%$ and less than $55 \%$ were $0 \%$.
- The course contributory lecture was provided in the beginning yes $85 \%$ and no $15 \%$.
- Background for benefiting from the course was more than adequate $77 \%$, adequate $13 \%$, inadequate10\%.
- The course was easy $80 \%$, difficulty $6 \%$, manageable $10 \%$ and very difficult $4 \%$ to understand for students.


## C. Internal Assessment

- Internal assessment was always fair $86 \%$, usually unfair $1 \%$, sometimes unfair $6 \%$ and some time fair $7 \%$.
- It helps to improve course grade with helps to improve $90 \%$, no special effect $2 \%$, discouraging $1 \%$ and some time effective $7 \%$.


## D. Library

- Library material and facilities for the course was more than adequate $85 \%$, inadequate $13 \%$, adequate $1 \%$ and very poor $1 \%$.
- Students get prescribed reading materials easily $91 \%$, not available at all $7 \%$ and with some difficulty $2 \%$.


## Professional Growth of Teacher

* Teachers published research paper in National \& International UGC approved/ Refereed Journals.
* They presented research paper in National \& International Seminars/Conferences.


## Remedial Measures to be taken to improve the Feedback system

- The courteousness of teacher as appeared from the feedback report is $85 \%$. It is a fact that respect and courtesy goes a long way with teacher. To inculcate courtesy, some play way methods; activity etc. should be employed to ensure warmth while teaching them.
- Participation of students in class is $85 \%$. Assess student's prior knowledge and tailor the lesion to build on students already know and it will increase the rate and degree of learning.
- Teacher must show interest in student's opinion then they will feel that their ideas or thoughts are appreciated and it will increase their self-confidence.
- Teacher should foster an ethos of student's participation and well-timed opportunities for them to work together. They will feel successful and more encouraged when new content is linked to what they already know.
- Proper schedule of assignments of every subject must be prepared in advance and should be discussed with students and them feedback will be generated. Due to time problems some topics are left and it can be given as assignments/projects of the uncovered topics.
- To make the course more understandable, teaching techniques like cooperative learning, project based learning, inquiry based instruction should be employed.
- Visual aids such as smart boards and projectors can ramp up the lesson in class. Students will absorb information and have deep understanding of it from hearing lecture along with it.


## Challenges

The results revealed major challenge that teacher should attend faculty development programmes like workshops, induction programmes refresher courses etc.
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Q 4. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
Always effective Just satisfactorily Sometime effective Generally ineffective



## Q 5. If yes, which of the following methods were used ?



Q 6. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

## Regularly/in time Often/late With helpful comments Without any comment





Q 8. How helpful was the teacher in advising?




Q 10.How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?



## Q 11.Were you provided with a course contributory lecture to at the beginning ?




Q 12. Background for benefiting from the course was?





Q 14. Internal assessment was?




Q 16. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course ?

| More than adequate | Inadequate <br> $13 \%$ | Adequate <br> $1 \%$ | Very poor <br> $1 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100 \%$    <br> $90 \%$    | $85 \%$ |  |  |
| $80 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $70 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $60 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $50 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $40 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $30 \%$ |  |  | $1 \%$ |



## Q 17. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed redings?



