QUESTIONNAIRE OF

STUDENT'S OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY)

YEAR (2014-15)

(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department:	Course:				
Teacher:	Year:				
 Your responses will be seen or recorded. The information will be used only in future. You need not disclose your name You may tick more than one ans contradict each other. 	y for the im	provement of the course a	and teaching		
1. The teacher's approach can best descri	bed as?		Seal of		
1. Always courteous		2. Always indifferent			
3. Sometimes rude		4. Cannot say			
2. How much well did the teacher prepare1. Thoroughly3. Satisfactorily	e for the cla	sses? 2.Poorly 4. Indifferently	9 9		
3. How Far the teacher encourages stude	nt participa		37		
 Mostly Yes Sometimes How well was the teacher able to com 	municate?	2. Not at All 4. Always			
1. Always Effective		2. Just Satisfactorily			
3. Sometimes Effective		4. Generally Ineffective			
5. If yes, which of the following methods v	were used?				
1. Encouraged to raise questions		2. Encourage discussion	outside class		
3. Get involved in discussion in class		4. Did not encourage			

6.	How often did the teacher provide feedb	ack on you	r performance?		
	1. Regularly/in time		2. Often/late		
	3. With helpful comments		4. Without any comment		
7.	Were your assignments discussed with yo	ou?			
	1. Yes, fully		2. Not discussed at all		
	3. Yes, partly		4. Sometime discussed		
8.	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	57.	600		
	1. Very helpful		2. Did not all helpful		
	3. Sometimes helpful		4. Did not advise		
9.	The syllabus of each course was?				
	1. Adequate		2. Challenging	4	
	3. Inadequate		4. Dull		
10. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?					
	1. 85 to 100%		2. 55 to 70%		
	3. 70 to 85%		4. Less than 55%		
11	. Were you provided with a course contri	butory lect	ture too at the beginning?		
	1. Yes	£	2. No	ď	
12	. Background for benefiting from the cou	rse was?			
	1. More than adequate	2.	Adequate		
	3. Inadequate		4. Cannot say		
13	. Was the course easy or difficult to unde	rstand?			
	1. Easy		2. Difficult		
	3. Manageable		4. Very difficult		
14	. Internal assessment was?				
	1. Always fair		2. Usually unfair		
	3. Sometimes unfair		4. Sometime fair		

15.	15. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?						
	1. Helps to improve		2. No special effect				
	3. Discouraging		4. Sometimes effective				
16.	What is your opinion about the library m	naterial and	I facilities for the course?				
	1. More than adequate		2. Inadequate				
	3. Adequate		4. Very poor				
17.	To what extent were you able to get ma	terial for th	e prescribed readings?				
	1. Easily	o.	2. Not available at all				
	3. With some difficulty		4. With great difficulty	ä			
	-						
	5 M ::::		:::				
		W :	3 3 3 1 1 1	Œ			
		I		Æ			
		T::					
		1					
	ਗਰਬਾਣੀ ਇਸ	200 M	i eraz				
	O francisco.	min and					

REPORT OF THE STUDENT'S

OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME AND TEACHING (STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY)

YEAR (2014-15)

Feedback is quite important in teaching learning process. It helps in the modification of behavior in positive way. It helps in achievement of intrinsic goals by focusing in the behavioral aspects of the students. Student's overall evaluation of the academic programme and teaching was based on the 75 performance which was collected from the B.Ed. trainees of the session 2014-15. The interpretation of the feedback given by 75 students based on the following headings vis-a-vis Teacher, Course, Internal Assessment and Library.

(A) Teacher

- Teacher's approach can best be described as always courteous 80%, always indifferent 10%, sometimes rude 5%, cannot say 5%.
- She/he prepares the classes thoroughly 65%, poorly 20%, satisfactorily 10% and indifferently 5%.
- She/he encourages student participation in the class mostly yes 69%, not at all 20% and sometime 11%.
- She/he communicates in always effective 50%, just satisfactorily 25%, sometime effective 15% and generally ineffective 10%.
- She/he uses encouraged to raise 58%, questions 20%, get involved in discussion 13% and did not encourage 9% method to teach the students.
- She/he provides regular/ in time 70%, often/ late 17%, with helpful comments 10% and with any comment 3% feedback on student's performance.
- Assignments were discussed in class yes, fully 65%, not discussed at all 20%, yes partly 10% and sometime discussed 5%.
- Her/his advice was very helpful 58%, not all helpful 20%, sometime helpful 13% and did not advise 9%.

(B) Course

- The syllabus of each course was adequate 60%, challenging 20%, in adequate 15% and dull 5%.
- It was covered in class up to 85% to 100% were 62%, 70% to 85% were 13%, 55% to 70% were 15% and less than 55% were 10%..
- The course contributory lectures were provided in the beginning yes 70% and no 30%.
- Background for benefiting from the course was more than adequate 60%, adequate 15%, inadequate 15% and cannot say 10%.
- The course was easy 48%, difficulty 30%,manageable 15% and very difficult7% to understand for students.

(C) Internal Assessment

- Internal assessment was always fair 70%, usually unfair 20%, sometimes unfair 6% and some timefair 4%.
- It helps to improve course grade with helps to improve 57%, no special effect 21%, discouraging 13% and some time effective 9%.

(D) Library

- Library material and facilities for the course was more than adequate 60%, inadequate 21%, adequate 10% and very poor 9%.
- Students get prescribed reading materials easily 59%, not available at all 21%, with some difficulty 12% and with great difficulty 8%.

Remedial Measures to be taken to improve the Feedback system

- 1. The courteousness of teacher as appeared the feedback report is average i.e. 70%. It is a fact the mannerism, behaviourism and humbleness are the important virtues as a first and last impression of the teacher. To inculcate courtesy, teachers should be trained psychologically though some group conversations to deal effectively with the students.
- 2. Preparation of the students is 65%. It can be increased if teacher must attend some workshops in making teaching effective and develop lesion plan.
- Participation of students in average i.e. 69%. It should be enhanced by asking high probing questions from students. Student's interest is to be enhanced by effective teaching techniques.

- 4. Communication of teacher can be remedify by asking him/her to participate in different seminars, extension lectures etc.
- 5. Student's performance is to be checked after completion of every lesion in class by oral, written tests or demonstration method and assignments should be checked properly.
- 6. Teacher should conduct counseling session of the student follow the rules and regulation of the institutions.
- 7. Proper schedule of assignments of every subject must be prepared in advance and should be discussed with students and then feedback will be generated. Due to time problems some topics are left and it can be given as assignments/projects of the uncovered topics.
- 8. To make the course more understandable, teaching techniques should be based on smart classes. Current methods of discussion like open conversations/discussion, filed visits, group dynamics with students etc. should be employed in classroom teaching.
- 9. Issuing and returning of books should be in time so that every student should get benefit from it.


Challenges


The results revealed major challenge that teachers should publish their paper in renowned journals for their professional development.


Principal


STUDENTS SATISFACTION SURVEY 2014-15


Q:1.The teacher's approach can best described as?















Q:3 How Far the teacher encourages student participation in class?




Q:4 How well was the teacher able to communicate?











Q:5 If yes, which of the following methods were used?
















Q:7 Were your assignments discussed with you?















Q:9 The syllabus of each course was? Adequate Challenging Inadequate Dull 60% 20% 15% 5% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Dull Adequate Challenging Inadequate









Q:11 Were you provided with a course contributory lecture to at the beginning?




Q:12 Background for benefiting from the course was?


More than adequte Adequte Inadequte











Q:13 Was the course easy or difficulty to understand?












Q:15 What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?


Helps to improve No special effect Discouraging sometime effective





Q:16 What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

More than adequate Inadequate Adequate Very poor

60% 21% 10% 9%







Q:17 To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed redings?

